

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,313	10/23/2001	Steven J. Wojcik	KCX-435 (16066)	9842
75	90 03/19/2004		EXAMINER	
John E. Vick, Jr.			RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
Dority & Manni Attorneys at Lav			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1449			3654	
Greenville, SC	29602		DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/040,313	WOJCIK ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit	. ,		
	William A Rivera	3654	'		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of this appli ": (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of the ened statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 cm.)					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13-15 and 17-19</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 20-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure State					

William A Rivera
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3654

10. Other: ____



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is the examiner's position that the claims as se forth read on the prior art.